GPB—15,000—20 12-13

G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1892-93
~~191~~.
(Inwards)

File No. 174

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month———————

SUBJECT.

Rejecting the appeal petitions of Subbabha Krishnachar, archak of Shanbhoge of the Malle Manjunaswami temple at Mrigavadagi, Jirtakal Taluk praying for re instatement to their offices from which they were dismissed for misconduct.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

LATER REFERENCES.

1892-93

No. 43. R

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 731/2273 dated 21—10—91 received at the Dewan's Office from the ryots of Muthur magni, Thirthahalli Taluk, Shimoga District

is forwarded, together with its 2 (two) enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga Dist for a full report.

Why is security asked for?

Why were no reasons assigned in the reply given to petitioners?

~~Reply~~ Report on the above is required before the 25th December 1891

By Order,

BANGALORE, 17/23 Decr, 1891.

Chief Secretary.

9154

(General Serial No. 30). P. 21

COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE
1891
SHIMOGA DISTRICT

From Dated, —

To The Chief Secretary to the Govt of Mysore

Dated 25th December 1891

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. —

General No. 718
No. 675 ✓

His Memo/No 43 dated 17th/23 December/91 forwarding a Petition from the Raiyatters of Mauthur Magani, Tirthalli Taluk, for a full Report before the 25th instant —

In reply to the above, has the honor to state that the above Memo was received this day; the Records connected with the case are in the Taluk, and an order has been issued to the Amildar to return the same to this Office by Return Post, and on receipt of the Proceedings detailed Report called

for as 28/12/91

Has the Report been rec'd? If not, ask or if doubtful, ask

B3
28/12/91

for therein will be sub-
mitted without loss of
time —

W. Prentiss Clark
For Deputy Commissioner

WPC

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.

From

To

The Huzrai Superintendent
& Ex officio Secretary.

Dated May 92

Despatched 14th May 1892

Received

Enclosures No. with one file

General No. 2434 & 07 papers —
P No 21

Letter N° 742/203 of 6th January 92 from the Deputy Commissioner Shimoga District reporting on the petition of Subba Bhatta for the management of the Temple of Mathoor Tirthahalli Taluk and Connected file.

The entire file is transferred to the Huzrai Superintendent with a list of papers.

By order.

Draft.

Chief Secretary

RA/10/5

List of papers transferred to Mussoorie Suptt with docket No & dated 9 May/92

Serial No	No on the letter	Date	From whom?
1	—	—	File of Canarese papers as per list attached thereto
2	43	17-12-91	Offl Memorandum from this Office to the Deputy Commnr.
3	718/679	9 25-12-91	Deputy Commnr's reply to the above
4	742/703	9 6-1-92	— do — with its enclosures (1 petition with its 2 enclosures)
5	9695/9 216	9 16-3-76	Proceedings of the Court of Mysore.

Draft

(General Serial No. 30)

1892.

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

To The Muzrai Superintendent and
Ex-Officio Secretary.

Dated 9th May 1892.

Despatched 14th May 1892.

Received

Enclosures No. 1 file of papers

General No. 2434

P No. 21

Letter No. 742/703 dated 6th January 1892 from the Deputy Commissioner Shimoga District, reporting on the petition of Subba Bhatta for the management of the Temple of Mathoor Tirtha. Thalli Taluk and connected papers.

To file.

The entire file is transferred to the Muzrai Superintendent with a list of papers.

By order

T Mandlam

Chief Secretary

Compd

Enargent

No. 7142
203

Shimoga District
Deputy Commr's Office
Shimoga 6th January 1892.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore
Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of this Office No. 718/679 dated 25th Ultimo, I have the honor to submit the records of the case for reference if necessary and to report as follows.—

2.- The question of demand for security does not appertain to the present case at all. So far back as 1876 security appears to have been demanded under the late Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. 9695/216 dated 16th March 1876 in connection with an application made for the management of the temple by Subba Bhatta referred to in the Petition under adverstence.

3 Subba Bhatta is one of the four

Officials of the Temple dismissed last year. It is evident that the petition in his favor, purporting to be from the residents of the Mattoor and Mundakar Magaines of the Parthalli Taluk is one drawn up by himself or under his influence.

4. On the recommendation of the Munzroy Officer and under orders of the Deputy Commissioner, Subba Bhatta and another servant of the Temple were prosecuted under Section 409 I.P.C. Criminal breach of trust by a public servant, and were discharged with highly unfavorable remarks by the 2d Assistant Commissioner and 1st Class Magistrate on the 18th June 1889.

Munzroy Officer's Memo dated 28-1-89. Dy Commissioner's reply of 3-2-89.

Vide judgment in Criminal case No. 51 of 1889.

5. The Munzroy Officer recommended the suspension for three years of Subba Bhatta on the 28th October 1890 but I ordered his dismissal on 21st February 1891, it appearing to me the more suitable punishment.

Munzroy Officer's Memo dated 28-10-90 Dy Comm's order No. 669 of 21-2-91

6. He was one of those who mis-appropriated a sum of Rs. 5 intended to be spent in performing certain worship in the Temple on the occasion of the Nama Karna of the 2d Prince of Mysore, and forged false receipts for expenditure never incurred.

7- The reasons for the dismissal were given in my order and the Muzroy Officer's Memo quoted in the margin of para 5 above. These should have been embodied in the endorsements issued by the Amildar and by this Office. I regret that such meagre endorsements were issued.

8. The Petition with two enclosures received with your Memo is here-with returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

M. Thomson

D

I.D.O.

1892 9583
P 22

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Dated 6th January

No 742
703

Reports on the petition of Subba Bhatta for the management of the Temple of Mattoor Settalli Taluk.

In this matter?
the Petn of the
Moottoor & Mundu-
-Kar Magganis?
the re the Settli
Taluk for the
re-instatement
of Subba Bhatta
as 'Archik'?
the Mallikar.
-jun temple
=

Submitted to
the Chief Secretary
in continuation
of Dy Com'rs 2nd
718 & 25 Dec'y.
629

Note the Pet: ref &
here should be
put up with this.

Pet deptd
Mark 8/1/92

I. H. L.

21/1/92

(1) Please have these
(2) The Petitioner
Let the papers be
Let the papers be

(1) Subd
(2) Draft/Sd
SE
5/92

133
9-1-92

MUZARAYI.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.

From

To The Dy Commissioner
Shimoga Distt.

Dated 2nd Sept. 92.

Despatched 3rd

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 5679
No. 13

His No. 742/703 dated 6th January 1892, reporting on the petition of the ryots of Moothur magni, Thirthahalli Taluk, praying for the restoration of the Archak Subba Bhatt of Mallikarjunaswami Temple at Meigavadai

With reference to the above has the honor to request that a tabular statement as per form annexed ~~on~~ the margin may be prepared, ~~furnishing the information called for~~ and submitted at a very early date.

By order
Secy.

From Thirthahalli 6/1/92

Statement showing the particulars relating to the ~~services~~ of Mallikarjuna Temple at Meigavadai

Name of Office	Name of servant dismissed or concerned in the misappropriation case	Whether post vacant or filled up; if filled up the name of the substitute	Remarks. In this column it should be explained whether the Pujas is now being performed in the Temple by any other

(General Serial No. 30)

1897

390

35

From [illegible]

To Secretary to the Govt of Japan, Tokio

Dated 24th September 1892

Despatched

Received 27th

Enclosures No. 2

General No. [illegible]

No. [illegible]

Subject [illegible]

Forwards a Canarese tabular statement of the Revenue of the Kollikurpinaraswami Temple at Mangalore [illegible]

Read

27

18/10/92

To the Secretary to the Govt of India

892-93

From The Deputy Commissioner
Nuniofa District

To The Secretary to the Government
of Fiji

Dated 24th September 892

No 143 Inc

His Docket No 567/13 dated 2nd September 892

Has the honor to forward a Comparative Tabular Statement of the Servants of the Mallikarjunaswamy Temple at Mungawadie, Pattadkal Taluk received from the Amildar of Pattadkal together with an English one as per form given in the said Docket

For Deputy Commissioner

ಈ ಅನುಬಂಧಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ಭರ್ತಿ ಮಾಡಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

ಕ್ರಮ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿರುವ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ವಿಷಯಗಳ ಕುರಿತು ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಅಥವಾ ಉತ್ತರಗಳನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಬೇಕಾದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳು	ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಬೇಕಾದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳು
2	3	4
ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಹೆಸರು	ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಶ್ರೀ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದ ಹೆಸರು	ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಗ್ರಾಮ ವಿಳಾಸ ಮತ್ತು ಪಿನ್ ಕೋಡ್ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ಬರೆಯಬೇಕು. ಒಂದಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕವಾಗಿ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಬೇಕು.
2 ಶಾಸನಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ಶಿಲಾಶಾಸನಗಳು, ತಾಮ್ರಶಾಸನಗಳು	ಎಂಟಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಶಾಸನಗಳಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವುಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ಬರೆದರೆ ಸಾಕು.
ಶಾಸನಗಳ ಭಾಷೆ	ಶಿಲಾ ಶಾಸನ	ತಾಮ್ರ ಶಾಸನ
ಶಾಸನಗಳ ಲಿಪಿ	ಶಿಲಾ ಶಾಸನ	ತಾಮ್ರ ಶಾಸನ

ದಿನಾಂಕ 10. 9. 92

ಸಹಿ/ Venkatasubbaiah

Statement showing the particulars relating to the servants of Malli Karjunaiswami temple at Mrigavadhe-

Sr.	Name of Office -	Name of servant dismissed as concerned in the misappropriation case	Whether post vacant or filled up, if filled up the name of the substitute -	Remarks -
1.	Archak	Subba Bhatta	Seshagiri Jois	The Puja is being performed daily in the temple by Seshagiri Jois without fail, but the present incumbent desires to be relieved as he is unable to perform the work on account of his illness
2.	Parchakra or Sanctis	Viryanna Bhatta	Venkatarayanna Bhatta	
3.	Patali	Sesha	Kala	
4.	Dhamblag	Krishna Chari	Salgadi Puttanna Bhatta	

Amingpa
Tahsildar

Tahsildar

MUZARAT.

(General Serial No. 10)

1893.

From

The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

To

The D.C. Shimoga

Dated 21

Despatched January '93

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 406

Muzarai. F. No.

Declining to interfere with the orders passed in the case of Subba Bhatta and Krishnachar archak and Shembagu respectively of the mattis of Karimaraswamy Temple Nirgavedai, Nittadalli Taluk.

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—12-1-93.—1,000.

Read:-.

Petition dated 21st Oct 1891 from certain ryots of Muttoor and Mundakar Magnies, Thiruthakalli Taluk, praying that one Subba Bhatta the archak of the Mallikarjuna Swamii Temple Chengavaday may be restored to his office from which he was dismissed by the Dy Coll.r as having been concerned in a charge of misappropriation of temple property.

2. Petition dated 11th Jany 92 from one Krishnabhan the Shanbogue of the said temple praying that he may be restored to his office from which he was dismissed by the Dy Coll.r.

3. And also, Letter No. 742/703. dt 6th Jany. 1892. from the Dy.

Comr. ~~Madr~~ Shimoga Dt
Submitting a report
on the above.

Order thereon.

No 406 B 21/23 1/1/93
Enl file

From the Vernacular
Records submitted with
the Dy Comrs letter
cited above, it is observed
that Subba Bhatta and
the petitioner Krishna
-chari who were res-
pectively the Archaka
and the Shanboque
of the Mallikarjuna
~~Swami~~ Swami Temple,
Mrigavadey, were pro-
~~secuting~~ secuted before
the 1st Class Magistrate
of Shimoga on a charge
of Criminal breach of
trust in having mis-
appropriated a sum of
Rs 5 allotted for special
services in the Temple
on the occasion of

of the Nawakannam of ~~Both~~ the Second Prince ~~of [illegible]~~, and forged false receipts for expenditure hence incurred. Both the accused were however discharged for want of sufficient evidence at the trial but yet the Magistrate who tried the case ~~appears~~ was ~~positively~~ ~~have been~~ convinced of the moral guilt of the accused and remarked in his judgement that "the accused as well as the witnesses conspired together in making away with the Govt Money". The Dy Comr. considered the evidence on the record strong enough to call for several departmental punishment and accordingly passed orders dismissing both Subba Bhatta and Krishnathan from their offices.

2. After a careful perusal of the records connected with the Case, the Govt See no reason to interfere with the order passed by the Dy Comr.

3. The petitions are accordingly rejected.

As
Secy

Off
Aug. 21/1893.

To
The Dy Comr., Shimoga Dt.
returning the Vernacular records.